MAPT Study: A Multidomain Approach for Preventing Alzheimer’s Disease: Design and Baseline Data
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Abstract

OBJECTIVE: The Multidomain Alzheimer Preventive Trial (MAPT study) was designed to assess the efficacy of isolated supplementation with omega-3 fatty acid, an isolated multidomain intervention (consisting of nutritional counseling, physical exercise, cognitive stimulation) or a combination of the two interventions on the change of cognitive functions in frail subjects aged 70 years and older for a period of 3 years. Ancillary neuroimaging studies were additionally implemented to evaluate the impact of interventions on cerebral metabolism (FDG PET scans) and atrophy rate (MRIs), as well as brain amyloid deposit (AV45 PET scans).

DESIGN, PATIENTS: 1680 subjects (mean age: 75.3 years; female: 64.8 %), enrolled by 13 memory clinics, were randomized into one of the following four groups: omega-3 supplementation alone, multidomain intervention alone, omega-3 plus multidomain intervention, or placebo. Participants underwent cognitive, functional and biological assessments at M6, M12, M24 and M36 visits. The primary endpoint is a change of memory function at 3 years, as assessed by the Free and Cued Selective Reminding test. All participants will be followed for 2 additional years after the 3-years intervention (MAPT PLUS extension study).

INTERVENTIONS: 1/ Omega-3 supplementation: two soft capsules daily as a single dose, containing a total of 400 mg docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), i.e., 800 mg docosahexaenoic acid per day, for 3 years. 2/ Multidomain intervention: collective training sessions conducted in small groups (6–8 participants) in twelve 120-minute sessions over the first 2 months (two sessions a week for the first month, and one session a week the second month) then a 60-minute session per month in the following three areas: nutrition, physical activity, and cognition until the end of the 3 years. In addition to the collective sessions, individualized preventive outpatient visits exploring possible risk factors for cognitive decline are performed at baseline, M12 and M24.

BASELINE POPULATION: For cognition, the mean MMSE at baseline was 28.1 (± 1.6). About 58% and 42% of participants had a CDR score equal to 0 and 0.5, respectively. Regarding mobility status, 200 (11.9%) had a 4-m gait speed lower or equal to 0.8 m/s. According to the Fried criteria, 673 (42.1%) participants were considered pre frail, and 51 (3.2%) frail. The red blood cell DHA content was 26.1 ± 8.1 µg/g. Five hundred and three participants underwent baseline MRI. AV45 PET scans were performed in 271 individuals and preliminary results showed that 38.0% had a cortical SUVR > 1.17, which gave an indication of significant brain amyloid deposit.

DISCUSSION: The MAPT trial is presently the first largest and longest multidomain preventive trial relevant to cognitive decline in older adults with subjective memory complaints. The multidomain intervention designed for the MAPT trial is likely to be easily implemented within the general population.
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Introduction

Multiple factors interact in older people with Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Current evidence, based on epidemiological studies and randomized clinical controlled trials, suggests that...
nutrition, physical exercise, cognitive activity and social stimulation may improve cognitive health (1). An increase in omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid intake has been proposed as a possible intervention for preventing or delaying age-related cognitive decline, particularly docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (2, 3). Given that prevention is the best way to decrease the burden of AD (4), it seems logical to initiate multidomain interventions addressing the multiple factors involved (5, 6). The Multidomain Alzheimer Preventive Trial (MAPT) study is a large and long-term trial specifically designed to test whether a multidomain intervention consisting of nutritional counseling, physical exercise and cognitive stimulation, in combination with omega-3 fatty acid supplementation, is effective in slowing cognitive decline in frail older adults at risk of cognitive decline (7). The hypothesis tested in this study is that a multidomain intervention is likely to be more beneficial than a single intervention, due to a possible synergistic effect of the tested components. This approach has another advantage: the interventions tested are widely available to millions of older adults. In addition to testing its overall efficacy, the trial includes ancillary studies to investigate brain beta-amyloid (Aβ) load as well as the potential impact of interventions (multidomain intervention and/or omega-3 supplementation) on cerebral metabolism and brain atrophy rate. The cost-effectiveness of these interventions will also be assessed.

In the present paper, we describe the design and baseline data from the MAPT trial.

Methodology

The MAPT study is a phase III, multi-centered (n=13), randomised, placebo- controlled trial, using a 4-arm design with 3 treatment groups (omega-3 alone, multidomain intervention alone, omega-3 plus multidomain intervention) and a placebo group. The study protocols have been approved by the French Ethical Committee located in Toulouse (CPP SOOM II) at the date of December 6, 2007 and authorized by French health authority (Ministry of Health) on December 31, 2007. Written consent was obtained from all participants. The protocol is registered on a public-access clinical trial database (www.clinicaltrials.gov) [NCT00672685].

Target Population

The target population for MAPT includes adults aged 70 years and older, living in the community and at risk of cognitive decline. On the basis of available evidence, three main inclusion criteria were adopted for recruiting individuals:

1) Spontaneous memory complaint expressed to the general practitioner,

2) Limitation in one instrumental activity of daily living (IADL, i.e., ability to use the telephone, shop, prepare meals, do housekeeping, do one’s laundry, use transportation, follow a medication schedule, or manage money),

3) Slow walking speed (i.e., lower than 0.8 m/s, which is more than 5 seconds to walk 4 meters).

Demented subjects (8) were excluded as well as subjects with a Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) score lower than 24 (9), dependency for the basic activities of daily living (ADL score lower than 6 [range : 0-6]) (10), and with any disease that could compromise the subject’s participation. In addition, subjects who had taken omega-3 supplementation within the past 6 months were not included.

Previous studies have shown that subjective memory complaint motivating medical consultation represents a significant risk factor for dementia incident in older persons (1). Recently, studies have also observed hippocampal atrophy in subjects with memory complaints compared to those with no memory complaint (11). Recent data from several research groups have also provided evidence that self-perceived decline in cognitive performance in elderly people, even those with normal performance on cognitive tests, increases the risk of future dementia and AD, and thus may suggest the presence of preclinical AD (12). At the Alzheimer’s Association International Conference (AAIC) in July 2013, several research teams suggested targeting individuals with subjective memory complaints for preventive trials (13). We previously used this strategy to select subjects for the preventive GuidAge trial (14). Using such easy-to-assess conditions may facilitate the possible translation of trial results into clinical practice. In many epidemiological studies, limitations in IADLs have also been linked to an increased risk of developing dementia (15, 16); as well as slow gait speed (17, 18, 19).

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome measure in MAPT is a change in memory function after a 3 years follow up, assessed by a validated French version (20) of the Free and Cued Selective Reminding test (FCRST; (21)). This measure evaluates immediate and delayed free and cued recall of 16 words, a learning procedure used to predict AD disease progression and possible dementia (22). Progress in memory decline (reported through changes in the cognitive tests slope), rather than conversion to dementia, was recently recommended as a primary outcome for primary prevention trials (23) and recently considered by the FDA / EMEA.

Several secondary outcomes assessed efficacy of interventions on other cognitive performances, as measured by the Controlled Oral Word Association Test [COWAT] and the Category Naming Test [CNT] (lexical and categorical fluency, respectively) (24), the Digit Symbol Substitution Subtest of the Wechsler Adult
Intelligence Scale-Revised (attention and executive function) (25), the Trail Making Test (motor activity and selective attention) (26), the MMSE (9), and the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale [CDR] (27). Two visual-analogue scales are also administered to assess memory function and the consequences of memory impairment in everyday life (28).

Other secondary outcomes assessed efficacy of interventions on:
- Physical function as measured by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study-Activities of Daily Living Prevention Instrument [ADCS-ADL PI] (dependency) (29) and the Short Physical Performance Battery [SPPB] (30) (physical performance).
- Frailty syndrome determined by using the classification system proposed by Fried et al (31, 32), based on assessments of grip strength, timed walking, involuntary weight loss, fatigue and physical activity.
- Depression as measured by the Geriatric Depression Scale – 15 items [GDS-15] (33).
- Tolerability to the omega-3 supplementation determined by counting the number of capsules remaining every 6 months.
- Adherence to the multidomain intervention by counting the number of sessions followed by each participant.
- And, finally, we assessed the cost effectiveness of interventions based on the Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) (34).

In addition, the three following ancillary neuroimaging studies were proposed to MAPT participants in selected centers: (i) to explore the effect of interventions on cerebral atrophy rate (total brain and hippocampal volumes), MRI scans were performed at baseline and at 36 months in 9 centers; (ii) to explore the effects of the multidomain intervention on cerebral metabolism, FDG-PET scans were performed at baseline, 6 months and 1 year in one site; and (iii) to evaluate amyloid deposit, florbetapir PET scans were performed once during the three years of the study in 5 PET centers.

Assessment of fatty acids

Lipids were extracted from red blood cells with a mixture of hexane and isopropanol after acidification. Margaric acid (Sigma) was added as an internal standard. Total lipid extracts were saponified and methylated. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were extracted with pentane and analysed by gas chromatography (GC) using an Agilent Technologies 6890N gas chromatograph with a split injector, a bonded silica capillary column (BPX 70, 60 m x 0.25 mm; 0.25 µm film thickness) and a flame ionization detector. Helium was used as a carrier gas, the column temperature program started at 150 °C, increased by 1.3 °C/min to 220 °C and held at 220 °C for 10 min (35). Identification of FAME was based on retention times obtained for FAME prepared from fatty acid standards. The area under the curve was determined using ChemStation software (Agilent) and results are expressed as % of total fatty acids. DHA concentration was calculated using the internal standard and expressed as µg/g of red blood cells.

Baseline blood samples were assayed by workers blind to the study design and other measurements.

Sample Size

The sample size required for the MAPT trial was calculated to detect a 0.3 SD difference between the four trial arms (three treatment groups plus placebo group) in the delayed free recall score of the FCRST after 3 years of intervention. To detect a 0.3 SD difference between trial arms, with an alpha risk of 1% and 80% power, 201 individuals were required in each group. Anticipating a 30% dropout over 3 years of intervention, the total sample size required for the study was 1,148 (287 per group). Nevertheless, it was decided to increase the sample size to 1,680 (420 participants per group) in order to account for the high educational level based on data of a previous prevention trial (14).

Study design and follow up (Table 1)

Follow-up visits are scheduled every 6 months up to 36 months to deliver the supplements, and assess physical condition, the presence of other diseases and corresponding treatments, resource consumption, compliance, tolerability to the omega-3 supplementation, and adherence to the multidomain intervention. Assessments of cognitive and functional status, frailty indicators, and depression are conducted at baseline, six months, and annually at 1, 2 and 3 years by research staff blinded to the intervention. All cognitive assessments are performed by hospital practitioners specializing in memory disorders and AD. Fasting blood samples were collected at baseline and 12 months to analyse fatty acid composition in erythrocytes. Additional blood samples are collected and stored at baseline and annually for RNA/DNA extraction. Spontaneously-reported adverse events are recorded to evaluate safety of the intervention.

Interventions

Omega-3 treatment duration and dose

The intervention arm will be asked to consume two soft capsules daily as a single dose, containing a total of 400 mg docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), i.e., 800 mg docosahexaenoic acid per day, and a maximum amount of 112.5 mg per capsule of eicosapentenoic acid (EPA) for 3 years, i.e., a maximum amount of 225 mg per day. The placebo arm will be asked to consume two identical soft capsules per day for 3 years.
Multidomain intervention

The multidomain intervention (7, 36) includes training sessions in the following three areas: nutrition, physical activity, and cognitive training. In addition, individualized preventive outpatient visits exploring possible risk factors for cognitive decline are performed at baseline, 1 year, and 2 years.

Preventive yearly Outpatient Clinics for the MAPT Intervention group have followed the recommendations made by an international task force group (37), which consists of a multidimensional investigation designed to detect hearing or visual disorders, mood disorders, anxiety, malnutrition, walking and balance problems, fear of falling, poor oral and dental health, and vascular risk factors. Good control of vascular risk factors, including management of hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia (i.e., known dementia risk factors), is recommended among preventive strategies for dementia (37, 38, 39).

Training sessions are conducted in small groups (6–8 participants) in twelve 120-minute sessions over the first 2 months (two sessions a week for the first month, and one session a week the second month). Each session includes 60 minutes of cognitive training, 45 minutes of physical training and 15 minutes of nutritional advice.

Starting on the third month, 60-minute sessions each month throughout the 3-year intervention period are conducted to reinforce the key messages of the program and increase compliance. Twenty-minute individual interviews are conducted every 6 months to assess the participant’s motivation to change their behavior and elaborate a personalized project. Finally, “booster sessions” (120 minutes) are conducted for each group at 1 year and 2 years.

**Table 1. Schedule of assessments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M0</th>
<th>M6</th>
<th>M12</th>
<th>M18</th>
<th>M24</th>
<th>M30</th>
<th>M36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated pathologies and treatments</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital signs, clinical examination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM IV Criteria</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychological tests</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Free and Cued Selective Reminding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Test Trail Making Test A &amp; B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- WAIS-R coding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Visual Analogue Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional tests</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fried’s criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SPPB</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADCS-ADL PI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-economics evaluation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biology</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological collection</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty acid profile</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI (M0 or M6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDG-PETscans (monocentric)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV45- PETscans</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td>X*</td>
<td>X*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse events</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AV45 PET scans were proposed either at M0, M6, M12, M18 or M24
Cognitive training

During the first 2 months, the first 8 training sessions are focused on reasoning and the following 4 sessions on memory. Reasoning training involves teaching strategies for finding the pattern in a letter or word series and identifying the next item in the series. Memory training involves teaching mnemonic strategies (organization, visual imagery, and association) for remembering verbal material (e.g., word lists, sequences of items, text material, or main ideas and details of stories). One of the main objectives of the cognitive sessions is to teach participants how to use these strategies in solving everyday problems (e.g., mnemonic strategies to remember a grocery list, or reasoning strategies to...
understand the pattern in a bus schedule). The cognitive component of the multidomain program was adapted from ACTIVE and MEMO cognitive intervention (40, 41, 42).

Physical training

The global aim of the physical intervention is to encourage participants to perform at least 150 minutes of moderately intensive physical activity per week (according to the recommendations of the American College of Sports Medicine) (43). The most frequently recommended type of activity is walking (30 minutes per day). However, participants can choose other forms of exercise to fulfill their five 30-minute sessions per week (e.g., aerobic exercises or strength training activities). The program includes a general advice component and a personalized, home-based physical-activity program, designed with each participant during an individual interview conducted every 6 months (six interviews during the 3 years).

Nutritional advice

Nutritional advice is based on dietary guidelines established by the French National Nutrition and Health Program for the elderly, which is considered as the official reference in France (44). During the first 2 months eight key guidelines are proposed offering specific recommendations for a healthy diet.

Blinding

For supplementation, blinding is ensured by the identical appearance (size, color, smell and shape) of the placebo and active capsules.

Training sessions are delivered by qualified trainers. Owing to the nature of the intervention, participants are obviously not blinded with regards to group allocation. At the beginning of the trial and at each subsequent assessment, participants are specifically requested to avoid discussing information regarding the intervention with the research staff conducting the blinded cognitive assessments (in order to limit possible biases in the evaluation), and with other participants (to limit contamination). Participants are also requested to use a diary to record their cognitive, social and physical activities each month.

Adherence

Unused study supplement capsule is returned at each visit, and compliance with use of the supplement is assessed by tablet count.

Adherence to the program is defined when a subject has attended at least 75% of the program during the first 2 months (at least 9 of the 12 sessions completed) of the multidomain intervention program.

Preliminary Results

Baseline Description of the Study population

Participants were recruited throughout France from May 2008 to February 2011 by 13 centres participating in the study. Details of recruitment strategies were previously published (45). Major sources of recruitment were media advertisement, hospital outpatient clinics and public conferences.

Among the 1680 participants randomized to one of the treatment or placebo arms, spontaneous memory complaint was present in 1664 (99.1%), limitation in one IADL in 188 (11.2%), and slow walking speed in 200 (11.9%) at baseline. Two hundred seventy one (16.2%) participants reported two of these factors and 51 (3.0%) reported all three factors.

Figure 1 shows the study flowchart and Table 2 shows baseline characteristics for the 1680 participants randomized. Their mean age was 75.3 (±4.4) years old; there were more women (64.8%) than men. A majority of participants (N= 1272; 77.4%) had received a secondary school education.

Cognitive status

Mean MMSE at baseline was 28.1 (±1.6). Fifty eight percent and 42% of participants had a CDR score equal to 0 and 0.5, respectively. GDS depression score was 3.3
Mean completion times on the TMT were 46.6 (±17.1) seconds (Version A) and 122.7 (±63.4) seconds (Version B). The mean number of items recalled correctly on the FCSRT test was 45.2 (±4.0), an amount representing the three free and indexed immediate recalls, and 10.6 (±2.9) for the delayed free recall. The mean scores on memory function and memory handicap VAS were 50.0 (±17.0) and 39.8 (±23.1) respectively. The mean values of other psychometric tests or questionnaires are presented in Table 2.

**Autonomy and functional status**

Regarding autonomy and functional capacities, difficulty with at least one item on the IADL was reported for 188 (11.2 %) participants. We used the SPPB to assess physical performance. The mean score of SPPB in our sample was equal to 10.6 (±1.7). One thousand three hundred and two (78.0%) participants had a high level physical performance with a score of SPPB equal or higher to 10. Regarding mobility status, mean gait speed was 1.1 m/s ± 0.3, with 200 subjects (11.9%) reporting a 4-m gait speed lower or equal to 0.8 m/s.

According to the Fried criteria, 673 (42.1%) participants were considered pre-frail, and 51 (3.2%) frail.

**Red Blood Cell (RBC) fatty acids status**

The analysis of RBC fatty acid composition shows a normal distribution with a high variability for DHA content (Figure 2). The mean value is 26.1 ± 8.1 µg/g with 48.6% of the population reporting a DHA content between 20 and 30 µg DHA / g RBC. The analysis of fatty acids status was performed using a standardized protocol provided to the centres. The protocol includes a scan with required sequences (3DT1, T2FLAIR, T2TSE and T2GRE) and optional sequences resting state f-MRI and DTI. Prior to starting MRI acquisition in MAPT participants, centres were requested to test the protocol with a volunteer. Images were then sent to the MRI coordinating centre (CATI and Cogimage), that provided the required certificates of quality. Once purchase was authorized, centres were requested to send the acquired images on a weekly basis. Upon reception by the MRI coordinating center, preliminary quality controls of 3DT1 acquisition were undertaken in order to detect whether a participant needed rescanning.

Preliminary brain segmentation is obtained with the first step of the BrainVISA pipeline. Its aim is to obtain a mask on which to compute the grey/white intensity histogram. Hippocampal volumes were generated using an automated procedure (SACHA Software) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.gate2.inist.fr/pubmed/19236922). 30% of the MAPT participants underwent a brain MRI. This data is presented in Table 3.

**FDG-PET ancillary study**

FDG-PET scans were performed at baseline, 6 months and 12 months on 68 participants from Toulouse to assess the impact of multidomain intervention on brain metabolism. 34 participants from the multidomain intervention arm and 34 from the control group were recruited for this task. Analysis are in progress.

**AV45-PET ancillary study**

Amyloid PET scans were performed at 5 PET centers (Toulouse, Bordeaux, Montpellier, Limoges and Nice).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Baseline characteristics of MAPT-MRI participants (N=503)</th>
<th>N (%) or mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at baseline MRI</td>
<td>74.9 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender (%)</td>
<td>319 (63.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-school diploma (Baccalaureat) or higher (%)</td>
<td>247 (49.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MMSE score</td>
<td>28.1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean total intracranial volume (in cm³)</td>
<td>1375.3 (148.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean left hippocampal volume (in cm³)</td>
<td>2.47 (0.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean right hippocampal volume (in cm³)</td>
<td>2.54 (0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Brain Parenchymal fraction (%)</td>
<td>80.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
algorithm, with corrections for randomness, scatter, photon attenuation and decay. This protocol produced images with an isotropic voxel of 2x2x2 mm3 and a spatial resolution of approximately 5-mm full width at a half maximum at the FOV centre. The acquisition data was processed using the standard package delivered with each acquisition system. All cerebral emission scans began 50 minutes after a mean injection of 4 MBq/kg weight of 18F-AV45 (florbetapir). For each subject, 10 or 15-minute frames were acquired to ensure movement-free image acquisition.

18F-AV45 (florbetapir) PET images were visually assessed by three independent raters, specialists trained in molecular imaging and blinded to all clinical and diagnostic information. The raters used a binary scale to classify each scan between 0 (no significant florbetapir cortical retention) and 1 (significant florbetapir cortical retention). Prior to this assessment, the raters underwent a half-day training session on a training set provided by AVID Radiopharmaceuticals Inc.

In addition to the visual readings of scan images, semi-automated quantitative analysis (cortical to cerebellar SUVR) was done using the mean signal of six predefined anatomically relevant cortical regions of interest (frontal, temporal, parietal, precuneus, anterior cingulate, and posterior cingulate) with the whole cerebellum used as a reference region. For this procedure, the 18F-AV45 (florbetapir) PET images were co-registered to the 18F-AV45 (florbetapir) template provided by the AVID company and previously published (49). A Quality Control based on semi-quantification process was also provided by AVID Lab. AV45 PET was performed in 271 individuals. Analysis is under progress. Preliminary results show that 38.01% (N=103) had a cortical SUVR > 1.17, giving indication of significant brain amyloid deposit. Participants with positive PET scans were of similar age but had lower cognitive functions than those with negative PET scans.

**Health Economics of the MAPT Study**

Economic evaluation was performed from the healthcare payer’s perspective by recording direct medical and non-medical costs at each visit during the 3-year follow up period. Micro-costing is used to value costs. Cost-effectiveness analysis will assess the relationship between costs and outcome (i.e. change of memory functions after a 3-year follow up) between groups of patients.

**Follow up visits**

At March 2013, study was completed for 1285 participants and 378 (22.5%) were dropped-out.

**Discussion**

Due to the multifactorial aspects of AD, multidomain interventions appear to be an original and potentially effective way to prevent dementia (50). Several target populations must be taken into consideration for preventive trials in Alzheimer’s disease. It seems that subjects with Prodromal Alzheimer or Late MCI with brain amyloid load, reporting a high risk of conversion into dementia, are good candidates for a strong intervention as anti-amyloid drugs currently tested. A second target population is represented by subjects with early MCI and subjective memory complaints. For example, the A4 trial (Anti-Amyloid Treatment for Asymptomatic AD Trial) was designed as a secondary prevention trial in clinically normal, Aβ positive individuals who will receive an anti-amyloid therapy for a 3-year period. (51)

For the current MAPT trial, we targeted older adults with subjective memory complaints, because it is an easy target to be implemented into clinical practice by primary care physicians. We wanted to broaden our population with pre-frail and frail older persons, we were able to include pre-frail older adults but very few frail were included. However, almost 42% have objective cognitive impairment with CDR 0.5. None have dementia. The omega-3 source used for this trial is not presently commercialized and contains a high dose of DHA (400 mg per capsule) and a maximum amount of 112.5 mg of EPA per capsule. Our hypothesis is that a high dose of omega-3 during a long period of time is needed to be effective. Indeed, this dose cannot be provided by daily nutritional intake. In our population, the variability of red blood cells DHA content is likely to be linked to natural fish consumption. These results provide a good baseline level of DHA compared to previous studies. For the other fatty acids, nothing appears to be different from common population characteristics.

Some other trials have studied physical exercise, nutrition intervention, cognitive exercise, or both (52, 53, 54, 55). However the MAPT study is presently the largest trial with such a long follow up: 3 years for the intervention plus 2 years for the observational follow up (MAPT PLUS extension study). Indeed, it is important to continue the follow up of all MAPT voluntary participants in order to evaluate the long-term effects of preventive strategies. Interestingly, the ACTIVE study group has recently published that this cognitive training had beneficial effects on cognitive abilities and on self-reported IADL ten years later (56). We used interventions that can be easily setup in clinical practice or community health programs and our action appears to hold these challenges. It is important to note that the multidomain intervention was well accepted, with a good compliance during the two first months of intensive program: 77.5% of participants completed at least nine of the 12 training
sessions.

The ancillary imaging studies with brain MRI, FDG PET and Florbetapir PET will give us important data on the potential impact of both interventions on structural imaging and brain metabolism. In MAPT-AV45 trial, 38% (N=103) have brain amyloid deposit. As expected, these individuals have lower cognitive functions than those without amyloid plaque deposit. It will be interesting to observe if both interventions are able to prevent further amyloid deposit in those who are negative at baseline. We plan to perform a second PET scan in subjects who are initially negative (three years after the first PET scan).

We are presently looking at APOE4 status in MAPT as well as other genetic markers but they are not yet available. The last patient completed the last visit of the trial in March 2014 and we expect to have the results available at the end of 2014. MAPT trial is the first innovative trial of a large series of European multidimensional intervention trials. The MAPT, FINGER and PreDIVA studies joined recently their force in the HATICE program funded by the European Commission (57, 58 ). Other preventive trials (i.e. DO-HEALTH: Vitamin D3 – Omega-3 - Home Exercise - Cognitive Impairment and Disability. PreDIVA: Prevention of Dementia by Omega-3 - Home Exercise - Healthy Ageing and Other preventive trials (i.e. DO-HEALTH: Vitamin D3 – program funded by the European Commission (57, 58 ).
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Appendix. The Members of the MAPT Study Group are

Principal investigator: Bruno Vellas (Toulouse); Coordination: Sophie Gillette-Guyonnet; Project leader: Isabelle Carrière; CRA: Laureline Brigitte ; Investigators: Catherine Faisant, Françoise Lalé, Julien Delrieu; Psychologists: Emeline Combrouze, Carole Baduffle, Audrey Zueras; Methodology, statistical analysis and data management: Sandrine Andrieu, Christelle Cantet, Virginie Gardette, Christophe Morin; Multidomain group: Gabor Abellán Van Kan, Charlotte Dupuy, Yves Rolland (physical and nutritional components), Céline Caillaud, Pierre-Jean Ousset (cognitive component), Françoise Lala (preventive consultation) (Toulouse). The cognitive component was designed in collaboration with Sherry Willis from the University of Seattle, and Sylvie Belleville, Brigitte Gibrat and Françoise Fontaine from the University of Montreal.

Co-Investigators in associated centre: Jean-François Dartigues, Isabelle Marce, Fleur Delva, Alexandre Foubert, Sandrine Cerda (Bordeaux); Marie-Noëlle-Cuffi, Corinne Costes (Castres); Olivier Rouaud, Patrick Manckoundia, Valérie Quipourt, Sophie Gillette-Guyonnet ; Investigators: Catherine Faisant, Françoise Lalé, Julien Delrieu; Psychologists: Emeline Combrouze, Carole Baduffle, Audrey Zueras; Methodology, statistical analysis and data management: Sandrine Andrieu, Christelle Cantet, Virginie Gardette, Christophe Morin; Multidomain group: Gabor Abellán Van Kan, Charlotte Dupuy, Yves Rolland (physical and nutritional components), Céline Caillaud, Pierre-Jean Ousset (cognitive component), Françoise Lala (preventive consultation) (Toulouse). The cognitive component was designed in collaboration with Sherry Willis from the University of Seattle, and Sylvie Belleville, Brigitte Gibrat and Françoise Fontaine from the University of Montreal.

MRI group: Carole Dutouil (Bordeaux), Stéphane Lebéc, Marie Chapin, Jean-François Mangin, Ali Bouhayia (Paris); Michèle Allard (Bordeaux); Frédéric Ricolfi (Dijon); Dominique Dubois (Foix); Marie Paule Boncœur Martel (Limoges); François Cotton (Lyon); Alain Bonafé (Montpellier); Stéphane Chollet (Toulouse) .

PET scans group: Pierre Payoux, Thierry Voisin, Julien Delrieu, Sophie Peiffer, Anne Hitzel, (Toulouse); Michèle Allard (Bordeaux); Michel Zanca (Montpellier); Jacques Montell (Limoges); Jacques Ducourt (Nice).

Medico-economics group: Laurent Molinier, Hélène Derumeaux, Nadège Costa (Toulouse).

Biological sample collection: Christian Vincent, Bertrand Perret, Claire Vinel (Toulouse).